Indeterminate

Indeterminate results indicate test

failure and testing must be repeated

### **Evidence Based Practice**

Date Finalized: 3.18.25

IPC = Infection Prevention & Control

MTBC = Mycobacterium tuberculosis

TST = Tuberculin skin test

complex

### **Tuberculosis (TB) Screening in the Ambulatory Setting Clinical Pathway Synopsis**

#### Tuberculosis (TB) Screening in the Ambulatory Setting Algorithm Exclusion criteria: Pt presents to TB infection: Pts without concern for TB infection or ambulatory setting (including UC/ED) · Defined as a person with MTBC with caregiver or clinician concerns for disease (e.g., general community bacteria present in the body exposure notification) TB infection or disease Pts with positive TB test\* Pts previously diagnosed with TB who · AND no symptoms or signs of disease have been adequately treated and have AND chest radiograph findings are no signs or symptoms of active disease normal or show evidence of healed Does pt have infection (e.g., calcification in the lung, a positive TB questionnaire Off pathway TB Screening Questions (yes to any of lymph nodes, or both) screen or positive these questions results in a positive \*Note: IGRA or TST (For information TB test? screen): regarding tests for TB not performed at Are there radiographic or clinical CM please refer to the CDC website) Yes findings suggesting TB disease? TB disease: Has a pt's family member or close Illness in a person with infection Refer pt to ID clinic contact had confirmed or suspected attributable to MBTC Does If available, perform Does pt TB disease? AND apparent signs, symptoms, or pt have a IGRA test · Has a pt's family member or close have signs or radiographic manifestations (can be recent positive If pt seen in ambulatory symptoms? contact had a positive TB test result? pulmonary, extrapulmonary, or both) test? clinic, do not refer to UC/ED Was the pt born in a high-prevalence for TB screening country (countries other than the TB Disease Signs and Symptoms United States, Canada, Australia, New · Pulmonary TB: Pt/family is placed in Zealand, or Western and North Fever, chills, night sweats airborne isolation European countries)? · Weight loss or poor weight gain If not already done, If not already done, Has the pt ever lived in or visited a · Cough for > 3 weeks Recent positive TB perform: perform: high-prevalence country for a month test. Chest radiographic findings Imaging: Imaging: or more? (lymphadenopathy, opacities, Untreated pt with a Solid two-view Solid two-view pleural effusion, cavitating lesion) previous positive test post-anterior posterior-anterior IGRA Testing • See AAP Red Book images and lateral chest and lateral chest · IGRA testing is recommended for pts Extrapulmonary TB: X-ray X-ray of all ages Meningitis Ultrasound Ultrasound · IGRA test names: Quantiferon TB Gold · Granulomatous inflammation of IGRA test Physical exam Plus or TSPOT.TB lymph nodes, bones, joints, skin, or See CM <u>Quantiferon TB Gold Plus</u> middle ear and mastoid testing information for collection Gastrointestinal TB (mimics) requirements and timing Discuss with ID and IPC inflammatory bowel disease) · If not available due to timing or lf pt seen in ambulatory clinic, do not 🗨 · Renal TB location, refer to ID clinic or PCP to refer to UC/ED forTB screening · Congenital TB (mimics neonatal coordinate testing sepsis) • IGRA results are available within 24 Abbreviations: 72 hours ID = Infectious Diseases · Results = Positive, Negative, or IGRA = Interferon gamma release assay

These clinical pathways do not establish a standard of care to be followed in every case. It is recognized that each case is different, and those individuals involved in providing health care are expected to use their judgment in determining what is in the best interests of the patient based on the circumstances existing at the time. It is impossible to anticipate all possible situations that may exist and to prepare a clinical pathway for each. Accordingly, these clinical pathways should guide care with the understanding that departures from them may be required at times.



#### **Table of Contents**

Tuberculosis (TB) Screening in the Ambulatory Setting Algorithm	L
Objective of Clinical Pathway	3
Background	3
Target Users	3
Target Population	3
Practice Recommendations	3
Additional Questions Posed by the Clinical Pathway Committee	3
Recommendation Specific for Children's Mercy	3
Measures	3
Value Implications	1
Organizational Barriers and Facilitators	1
Diversity/Equity/Inclusion	1
Clinical Pathway Preparation	1
TB Screening in the Ambulatory Setting Clinical Pathway Committee Members and Representation	1
Clinical Pathway Development Funding	1
Approval Process5	5
Review Requested	ō
Version History5	5
Date for Next Review	5
Implementation & Follow-Up5	ō
Disclaimer5	5
References 6	5



#### **Objective of Clinical Pathway**

This pathway aims to guide the screening and follow-up of patients in ambulatory settings, including the Emergency Department and Urgent Care, who present with caregiver or clinician concerns for TB infection or disease. It provides a standardized approach to evaluating signs and symptoms, exposure and travel history, diagnostic testing, and appropriate referral to Infectious Diseases.

#### **Background**

The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) Red Book chapter on Tuberculosis (American Academy of Pediatrics, 2024) provides general guidance for TB screening including etiology, definitions of infection vs. disease, screening, diagnosis, testing methods, and general infection control and prevention practices. However, application of this quidance for ambulatory patients at Children's Mercy requires clarification of the recommended screening questions to improve usability, recommendations for patient referral to Infectious Diseases and Infection Prevention & Control, local testing requirements and timing, decisions for when isolation of patients and families is required, and consideration of previous test results.

#### **Target Users**

- Physicians (Emergency Medicine, Urgent Care, Primary Care, Ambulatory Clinics, Fellows, Residents)
- Advance Practice Providers
- Nurses

#### **Target Population**

#### Inclusion Criteria

Patients presenting to the ambulatory setting with caregiver or clinician concerns for TB infection or disease

#### Exclusion Criteria

- Patients without concern for TB infection or disease (e.g., patients who received a general community exposure notification)
- Patients previously diagnosed with TB infection or disease who have been adequately treated and have no signs or symptoms of active disease

#### **Practice Recommendations**

The APA Red Book Chapter on Tuberculosis (American Academy of Pediatrics, 2024) was used to inform sections of this clinical pathway, including definitions of TB infection and disease, TB signs and symptoms, and TB screening questions. The following modifications were made to the screening questions:

- Addition of radiological or clinical findings suggestive of TB disease
- Expanded to family members and close contacts
- Changed positive tuberculin skin test to general positive TB test
- Specified travel to a high-prevalence country to include lived in or visited for a month or more

#### **Additional Questions Posed by the Clinical Pathway Committee**

No clinical questions for formal literature review were posed by the clinical pathway committee.

#### **Recommendation Specific for Children's Mercy**

In the absence of a clinical guideline, practice recommendations and the employment of selected tools and resources were based on the expert opinion of the TB Screening in the Ambulatory Setting Clinical Pathway committee.

#### Measures

Utilization of the Tuberculosis Screening in the Ambulatory Setting Clinical Pathway

These clinical pathways do not establish a standard of care to be followed in every case. It is recognized that each case is different, and those individuals involved in providing health care are expected to use their judgment in determining what is in the best interests of the patient based on the circumstances existing at the time. It is impossible to anticipate all possible situations that may exist and to prepare a clinical pathway for each. Accordingly, these clinical pathways should guide care with the understanding that departures from them may be required at times.



#### **Value Implications**

The following improvements may increase value by reducing healthcare costs and non-monetary costs (e.g., missed school/work, loss of wages, stress) for patients and families and reducing costs and resource utilization for healthcare facilities.

- Increased frequency of appropriate screening, testing, referrals, and follow-up
- Increased rate of appropriate isolation of patients exhibiting signs or symptoms of TB disease
- Decreased frequency of screening inappropriate patients
- Decreased frequency of inappropriate testing
- Decreased unwarranted variation in care

#### Organizational Barriers and Facilitators **Potential Barriers**

- Variability of acceptable level of risk among providers
- Variability of utilization of clinical pathway, including exclusion criteria and screening questions
- Challenges with follow-up faced by some families

#### Potential Facilitators

- Collaborative engagement across care continuum settings during clinical pathway development
- Anticipated high rate of use of the clinical pathway

#### **Diversity/Equity/Inclusion**

Our aim is to provide equitable care. Resources from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention are linked in this pathway to ensure the patient's specific needs and challenges are addressed when screening for TB (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2025).

#### **Associated Policies**

- Tuberculosis Control Plan
- Primary Care and Infectious Diseases Tuberculosis Screening Standing Orders

#### **Clinical Pathway Preparation**

This pathway was prepared by the Evidence Based Practice (EBP) Department in collaboration with the TB Screening in the Ambulatory Setting Clinical Pathway Committee composed of content experts at Children's Mercy Kansas City. If a conflict of interest is identified, the conflict will be disclosed next to the committee member's name.

#### TB Screening in the Ambulatory Setting Clinical Pathway Committee Members and Representation

- Kathy Auten, MSN, RN, CIC | Infection Prevention & Control | Committee Chair
- Christelle Ilboudo, MD | Infectious Diseases, Infection Prevention & Control | Committee Chair
- Theodore Barnett, MD | Emergency Department | Committee Member
- Danny Dooling, MD | Med/Peds Resident | Committee Member
- Anik Patel, MD, FAAP | Emergency Department, Global Health | Committee Member
- Gretchen Range, RN, BSN, CPN | Urgent Care | Committee Member
- Douglas Swanson, MD | Infectious Diseases | Committee Member

#### **EBP Committee Members**

- Todd Glenski, MD, MSHA, FASA | Anesthesiology, Evidence Based Practice
- Megan Gripka, MT (ASCP) SM | Evidence Based Practice

#### **Clinical Pathway Development Funding**

The development of this clinical pathway was underwritten by the following departments/divisions: Emergency Department, Infection Prevention & Control, Infectious Diseases, Urgent Care, and Evidence Based Practice

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The contributors to the TB Screening in the Ambulatory Setting Clinical Pathway have no conflicts of interest to disclose related to the subject matter or materials discussed.

These clinical pathways do not establish a standard of care to be followed in every case. It is recognized that each case is different, and those individuals involved in providing health care are expected to use their judgment in determining what is in the best interests of the patient based on the circumstances existing at the time. It is impossible to anticipate all possible situations that may exist and to prepare a clinical pathway for each. Accordingly, these clinical pathways should guide care with the understanding that departures from them may be required at times.



#### **Approval Process**

- This pathway was reviewed and approved by the TB Screening in the Ambulatory Setting Clinical Committee, Content Expert Departments/Divisions, and the EBP Department; after which they were approved by the Medical Executive Committee.
- Pathways are reviewed and updated as necessary every 3 years within the EBP Department at CMKC. Content expert teams are involved with every review and update.

**Review Requested** 

Department/Unit	Date Obtained
Emergency Department	March 2025
Evidence Based Practice	March 2025
Infection Prevention & Control	March 2025
Infectious Diseases	March 2025
Urgent Care	March 2025

**Version History** 

Date Comments	
April 2025	Version one – Development of clinical pathway

#### **Date for Next Review**

• 2028

#### **Implementation & Follow-Up**

- Once approved, the pathway was presented to appropriate care teams and implemented. Care measurements will be assessed and shared with appropriate care teams to determine if changes need to occur.
- Education was provided to all stakeholders:
  - Departments of Emergency Medicine, Urgent Care, Infection Prevention & Control, and Infectious Diseases
  - Resident physicians
- Additional institution-wide announcements were made via email, the hospital website, and relevant huddles.

#### Disclaimer

When evidence is lacking or inconclusive, options in care are provided in the supporting documents and the power plan(s) that accompany the clinical pathway.

These clinical pathways do not establish a standard of care to be followed in every case. It is recognized that each case is different, and those individuals involved in providing health care are expected to use their judgment to determine what is in the best interests of the patient based on the circumstances existing at the time.

It is impossible to anticipate all possible situations that may exist and to prepare clinical pathways for each. Accordingly, these clinical pathways should guide care with the understanding that departures from them may be required at times.

These clinical pathways do not establish a standard of care to be followed in every case. It is recognized that each case is different, and those individuals involved in providing health care are expected to use their judgment in determining what is in the best interests of the patient based on the circumstances existing at the time. It is impossible to anticipate all possible situations that may exist and to prepare a clinical pathway for each. Accordingly, these clinical pathways should guide care with the understanding that departures from them may be required at times.



#### References

- American Academy of Pediatrics. (2024). Tuberculosis. In Red Book: 2024–2027 Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases (31st ed.). American Academy of Pediatrics. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1542/9781610027373-53">https://doi.org/10.1542/9781610027373-53</a> 019 015
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2025, January 31). Health disparities in tuberculosis. https://www.cdc.gov/tb/health-equity/index.html
- Primary Care and Infectious Diseases Tuberculosis Screening Standing Order (October 2024), Patient Care Services Standing Orders Manual. Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, Missouri.
- Tuberculosis Control Plan, (December 2024), CMH Infection Prevention & Control Manual. Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, Missouri.

These clinical pathways do not establish a standard of care to be followed in every case. It is recognized that each case is different, and those individuals involved in providing health care are expected to use their judgment in determining what is in the best interests of the patient based on the circumstances existing at the time. It is impossible to anticipate all possible situations that may exist and to prepare a clinical pathway for each. Accordingly, these clinical pathways should guide care with the understanding that departures from them may be required at times.